

Dev (1933) Department

Ins. SERIES.

G.O. No 876

DATED 25-7-33

872
20/5/36
Pl. No 3652 III/36
N.R.R.
12/11/36

[Despatch Abstract.]

Agriculture - Pest Act Operations
South Kanara - Expenditure - 1933-34
Promo con - Orders passed.

Dec. 1268-III
30-3-33

C.F. 50.



'AGRICULTURAL' DEPARTMENT.

Received 19 . Registered 19

Subject.

AGRICULTURAL PEST ACT OPERATIONS - EXPENDITURE INCURRED
IN 1932-33 - PROVISION OF - ORDERS REQUESTED.-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. D.3-299/33.

Station M a d r a s . , Dated the 29th March 1933. ~~19~~

From (Name) S.V. Ramamurty Esq., I.C.S.,
(Designation) Director of Agriculture,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request sanction of Government for an appropriation of Rs.257/- to meet the expenditure incurred by the Collector of South Kanara in connection with the Pest Act Operation for 1932-33.

2. The expenditure was not anticipated by this Department and no provision could therefore be made either in the Budget or in the Revised Estimate for the current year. The Collector in his letter L.Dis.No.589/33 dated the 23rd March 1933 (copy enclosed)

A

1.3 mpa

states that the amount adjusted has been shown as debit to this Department in anticipation of my sanction.

3. The proposal of the Collector involves the opening of a new sub-head viz. Pest Act work, under any one of the existing minor heads. This cannot be done without the sanction of Government in the Finance Department. Under Note (1) to Paragraph 69 of the Madras Budget Manual, the debit can be included under 'L'. Research Institute- L.8. Other Charges" which may be considered as closely related to the charge.

4. The expenditure can be met from the probable savings under 'L'.5.Apparatus and Materials" as some of the stores indented for from the Indian Stores Department are not likely to be received before the end of the current official year.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant.

L. Demand / av
30337

for DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

KVA. 29/3.

29.303

48 { there is already
 a sub record
 J. Post Act
 After record
 we will accept
 he made to it
 - p. 48 of memo
 - "1.1.1"
 1251 of 0.022-
 (53-34)

3

Copy of letter No.L.Dis.589/33 dated the 23rd March 1933 from the Collector of South Kanara, to the Director of Agriculture, Madras.-

.....

Allotment - Agricultural Pest Act Operations - Advance made - Adjustment.-

Your letter D.3-299/33 dated 9-3-1933.-

(V) The amount was advanced from time to time and adjusted according to the collection made from the tree owners. When the staff employed for pest work was disbanded on 1-9-31 as per G.O.No.1288 Ms., dated 29-8-31, the amount pending for collection was Rs.281-10-2, out of which a sum of Rs.256-11-7 was pending collection at the beginning of the current official year. The major portion of the amount has since been collected and adjusted. The collections go to the credit of general revenues and so are not available to meet the debit now raised against your Department. Further no funds were allotted to me and hence they are not at my disposal under the head of account referred to in my letter R.O.C. 589-33-B.4 dated 21-2-33. The amount adjusted has been shown as debit to your Department in anticipation of your sanction for the allotment applied for.



DEPARTMENT.

D 2105-111
13.6.33

Received 19 . Registered 19 .

Subject.

AGRICULTURAL PEST ACT OPERATIONS - EXPENDITURE INCURRED

IN 1932-33 - PROVISION FOR - ORDERS REQUESTED.-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C.D.3- No. 299/33.

Station Madras., Dated the 11th June 1933. ~~box~~

From (Name) S.V. Ramamurty Esq., I.C.S.,
 (Designation) Director of Agriculture,
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Sir,

Ref: This office letter R.O.C.No.D.3-299/33,
 dated the 29th March 1933.-

In continuation of this office letter quoted above, I have the honour to state that a copy of the letter received from the Collector of South Kanara was forwarded to Government with this office telephonic message dated the 6th June 1933.

From the Collector's letter it will be seen that he is unable to know with certainty how the debits arose and that he has asked the Revenue Divisional

p. 8 MF

p. 8 MF

~~2~~ 5

Officer, Mangalore, to go into the matter personally. There was already a long delay in the Collectorate and I am afraid that it will take some time longer to get a final reply. The accounts of the year 1932-33 have to be adjusted by the end of this month.

I therefore request that the Revenue authorities may be directed to expedite the matter and to communicate the result of the enquiry direct to Government without delay so as to facilitate orders being issued by the 30th June 1933. A copy of the order may be communicated to the Accountant-General.

The actual amount debited to "Pest Act Operations" up to end of March 1933 (preliminary) is Rs.169-15-2.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

H. K. S. S. S.
12. VI. 33

for DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

W. S. S.

Dev. Dept

O. M. No. 1268 III/33-1, d 10.6.33

for 1-2 ct
and H. S. C.

Refce: D. A's letter ROC. No. D-3.
299/33 d. 29-3-33 and 4-6-33
- Agrl. Pest Act Operations -
Expenditure incurred in 1932-33
- Provision

Dr
19/6/37

a Copy of the letter
from the D. A. quoted above is
communicated to the Collector
of South Kanara

The following
information was asked for from
the D. A.

(1) Why the amount
of Rs 256-11-7 outstanding at the
beginning of 1932-33 out of the
advances made was shown as a
debit to the Agrl. Budget and
why the amount collected has not
been taken in reduction of this
debit

vide p. 4 MF

(2) How such expenditure
is met in other cases and to whom
the advances in question were made
by the Collector

P. J. C.

The D.A. reported that he has consulted the Collector of South Kanara and that his reply was awaited.

3. As it is ^{now} reported that the accounts of the year 1932-33 have to be adjusted by the end of June 1933, the Collector of South Kanara is requested to submit his report, ^{by 25-6-33} supplying the information required in para 2 above, ^{and} ~~by 25-6-33~~ stating the actual amount debited to the Agrl. Dept. This report may be submitted direct to Govt.

vide p 5-ct.

Recd
14-6-33

RL
15-6-33

DD
16-6-33

1. The Collector of S. Kanara (with copy of pp. 1-24)
2. Copy to The D.A.

Recd. 25.6.33

8.

Dr Sept.

V. W. J. J. J. J.

Mo 6-2 ef

On No 1268 III 58-2 Q 27 600

Ref. Int. On No 1268 III 88-1
d. 15-6-37. Ref. Ref. Ref.
operations - expenditure
incurred in 1932-33.

Dr
27/6

Dr
27/6

The collector of S. Kanan
is requested to expedite
his reply to the reference
quoted above

Per
22-6-33

PR
27/6/33

H. H. H.

To the collector of S. Kanan.

Collector's Reply received.
Pl. put up for
Dr
28/6

2264 III
28.6.33

VK

D. Dis. 1901--33.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 26th June 1933.

From

E. M. Gagne, Esq, I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Development Department,

M a d r a s.

S i r,

Agriculture-- Agricultural Pest Act operations--advances
made--adjustment--report submitted.

Ref:- Government's Memorandum No. 1268-III/33--1 dated
15th June 1933.

The matter has been investigated thoroughly by the Revenue
Divisional Officer, Mangalore and I am thus able to submit a
detailed report about the account procedure that was followed
in this District in respect of the advances made for the destruc-
tion of agricultural pests and diseases and their recoveries
and also the circumstances that led me to apply for an allotment
of funds from the Director of Agriculture.

2. The Pest Act was introduced in this District in 1923.
The Pest was controlled by engaging coolies who were paid at
rates varying from 6 pies to 15 pies per tree treated and the
amount so paid was collected from the owners. The amount so
payable to coolies was drawn from Treasury and debited to "Ad-
vances repayable" and the recoveries from the tree-owners, as
they were made, were credited as receipts under the same ad-
vance head and taken in reduction of the original debit. This
appears to have been the procedure followed till July 1927 and
is the same procedure as is mentioned by the Director of Agri-
culture in para 3 of his letter R.C.C. D--3 209/33 dated 5th
April 1933.

3. In the year 1927 the Government in G. C. No. 115C Mis. Development dated 22.7. 1927 approved the proposal of the (A) then Collector to collect from tree-owners a flat rate of four pies for each tree treated and to pay the coolies out of this sum at 2 pies for each tree treated in lieu of Travelling Allowance. The Government also directed that the whole fee collected from -- owners should be credited to the general revenues in the first instance, the amount payable to the coolies being drawn from the Treasury in contingent bill. This change in the procedure was ordered apparently as only one half of the fees collected from tree-owners had to be credited to the head "Advance Repayable" On the basis of this Government Order the fees collected from owners were credited to "XXIV Agriculture" in full in the first instance and half of the amount so credited was adjusted to the debit of "34 Agriculture" and to the credit of "Advance Repayable". This procedure which has been followed from July 1927 made it necessary to have a budget allotment under "34 Agriculture" to meet the credits to the Advance head as collections were made from owners.

4. On 1.4.32 there was a debit balance of Rs.256-11-7 under the advance head showing that twice this amount was due for collection from ryots. The Treasury Dy. Collector wanted a corresponding budget allotment under "34 Agriculture" to meet this debit. The Tahsildar Mangalore reported that a major portion of the fees due from the owners had already been collected and credited to "XXIV Agriculture" but remained to be adjusted and that an allotment under "34 Agriculture" was necessary for the debit ~~portion~~ of the moiety of collection and for its credit to the Advances head. This was the origin of the correspondence with the Director of Agriculture regarding the provision of an allotment in the Agricultural Budget.

5. In anticipation of the allotment of funds under "34 Agriculture" a sum of Rs. 169-15-2 (half the actual sum collected) was debited to "34 Agriculture" in January and February 1933 and credited to the Advances head, thereby reducing the debit under "Advances Repayable" from Rs. 256-11-7 to Rs. 86-12-5. This is the

balance under Advance head at the end of March 1913. But according to the Treasury account it is Rs. 80-2-1. This discrepancy is due to a sum of Rs. 6-2-4 collected from the owners having been credited wrongly by the Tahsildar Mangalore in February 1933 direct to "Advances Repayable" instead of to "XXIV Agriculture". I have therefore asked my Treasury Dy. Collector to take steps to transfer the wrong credit of Rs. 6-2-4 from "Advances Repayable" to "XXIV Agriculture".

6. I shall now deal with the points raised by the Government in their Memorandum quoted above.

Para 2 (1):- Out of the sum of Rs. 256-11-7 outstanding at the beginning of 1932-33 under "Advances Repayable" only a sum of Rs. 169-15-2 was debited to "24 Agriculture" during 1932-33 and credited to the Advances head. The debit to the Agricultural budget was necessary as the amount collected from the ^{free} owners (Rs. 339-14-4) had been credited in full in the first instance to "XXIV Agriculture" with reference to the orders contained in G. O. No. 1120 Dis. Development dated 22.7.1927. Evidently, this full credit has escaped notice.

Para 2 (2) (second part):- The advances in question were paid to the Forest Inspector to meet the amount payable to the coolies at 5 pies for each tree treated--please see G.O. Dis. No. 1120 Development dated 22.7.1927. With regard to the first sentence of the sub para there are not other cases of this sort in the district.

Investigation was very troublesome; hence the delay in his reply -

Your obedient servant,

[Signature]
Collector.

SH
(Inquiries)

AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT



Received

19

Registered

Subject

AGRICULTURAL PEST ACT OPERATIONS - EX. EXPENDITURE IN 1932-33 -
ORDERS SOLICITED. -

Dev. Dept.

To A.S.

Please see Fin. note underleaf. This may be lodged and stitched with P.O. 876 dated 25-7-33

(R)

28-7-33
28-7

RL
30-7

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. D.3-299/33.

Station Madras, Dated the 19th July 1933. Jlx

From (Name) S.V. R. Ramurty Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Director of Agriculture,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that Government may be pleased to issue early orders on the letter for the Collector of South Kanara, D.Dis.No.1801/33 dated the 26th June 1933, in reply to Government Memorandum No.1266-III/33-1 dated the 15th June 1933. The Accountant-General desires that a reply should be sent to him not later than the 25th July 1933.

2. A copy of the Accountant-General's express letter No.T.10/BK-594 dated the 18th July 1933 is

14, (R)

6-7, (R)

enclosed for information and for such early action
as may be deemed necessary.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
25.7.33

for DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.


[Signature]
19/7

Copy of express letter No. T.10/BK-594 dated the 18th
July 1933, from the Accountant-General, T.A.D.10 Section,
Madras.-

Ref: Expenditure under 'J. Pest Act Operations'
in 1932-33 without appropriation.-

Please state whether orders of Government have
been received on the reference made by you. As the books
of this office for 1932-33 will finally be closed by the
29th July 1933 it is requested that if any adjustments,
are to be made, a reply may be sent so as to reach this
office not later than the 25th instant as otherwise
the want of appropriation under the head will have to be
explained in Appropriation Accounts.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of this letter.



20051

To A.S.

Dev. Dept.

This may be sent to Finance in continuation of
Dev. no. 1268 III/33.2 d. 3.7.33 with the request that orders may
kindly be issued ~~promptly~~ immediately as desired by me. *[Signature]*

KVA. 19/7

[Signature]
24.7.33 24.7.11

[Signature]
24.7.33

[Signature]
24.7.33

Dev. no 1268 III/33-3

24.7.33

Fin no. 20051 B. 51

This may be returned to Development in continuation of Finance
no. 558 B.S. - 1 d. 24.7.33 with which the main file was sent to them.

24.7.33

24.7.33

24.7.33

24.7.33

24.7.33

Dev. Dept.

Agricultural Pest Act Operations - Expenditure
- 1932-33 - Provisions

C.N.O. 12680/III

To A.S.

note rule 72 A of
budget manual at
p. 51
(not put up)

Please see the D.A. letter in the C.F.
It is now too late to sanction any appropri-
tion now. The last date for receipt of proposals for such appropriation is 1st March. The expenditure of Rs 257 will therefore have to be treated as an excess. The D.A. may be informed accordingly. A draft D.M. is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Treasury for remarks.

But what is the nature of this expenditure? How is it to be met? Is it to be met by the Government or by the local authorities?

KK
81.3.33

To A.S.

- Pest Act Operations were closed in September 1931 - vide S.O. 1288 L.D. 29.8.31.
- (V) No appropriation was therefore made under "36 a Agriculture - P. Pest Act Operations" in 1932-33 - vide Smt. No. 4747/32 d. 31.10.32. The D.A. may perhaps be asked what the nature of the expenditure of Rs 257 represents. A draft P.M. is submitted for approval. The nature of the debit P.T.O.
- KK
81.3.33

for Rs 257/- raised against the Hq. Dept.
appears to be as follows as compared with
from the Collector's letter of 2.12.55.

(Collector's correspondence
given in 1955 is
incomplete)

When the Special Staff for Hq. Dept. operations was
established,
in S. Kurnava ~~was established~~ in Sept., a

part of the amount advanced (presumably to
inspecting officers) was outstanding.

Out of this amount, Rs 256.11-7- was

left uncollected at the beginning of

1956-57. Most of this amount has been

collected and adjusted. Collections ~~being~~ having been credited
to the head "Advances repayable -

Special advances etc, this amount
is now still shown as debit against

the Hq. Dept. which requires the regularised
of function ~~by~~ an additional appropriation

Previous similar cases, if any,
are presumably dealt with by sanctioning
the necessary appropriation under the
sub-head "L. Research Institute". Asst

at under the powers delegated to the D.A.

(vide Memo III of para 70 - C.S. & B. M.),

no re-appropriation can be sanctioned

by him for an object not specifically

included in the estimates and for

which no provision has been made

in the estimates, the D.A. cannot

perhaps sanction the necessary

para 9 of the
Budget Manual
(not put up)

S. H.

appropriation in Tier Creek
(Vide 'A' report of.)

For orders. Whether ^aany reference
may be made to the D.A. for definite
information.

This has shown no further

Thy
1-4-33 lights

D.A.'s books of 1931-32 of mine
opens with show what the purpose of the formation was
under the name "J. Post Act Operations".

The collection given of advances
To whom were these advances made? In what
hand? If to rights, these came presumably from
the advances left with the widow officer. The
collection letter is not clear come with days
please speak?

RR
14.33

T. H. raised
on 7-4-33

P.T.O.

4-15

J. M.

San Jose

To the D.D.

Refr. your letter No.
No D. I. 299/33 d-24-3-37
Appl - Pest Act operations -
expenditure in 1932-33
add. provision

Please state in detail
why the amount of \$256.47
outstanding at the beginning
of 1932-33 out of the advance
made was shown as debit
to the Appl. Budget and why
the amount collected has
not been taken in reduction
of this debit.

Please also say how
such expenditure is met
in other cases and to whom the
advances in question were made
by the collector

RJ
1-6-33

1-4-23

DP
Tm
1-4-23

Q E
1-4-33

Remd
1/4/33

Remd
1/4
33

OFFICE OF THE

Dated _____ 193

Hours _____ Minutes _____

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE

From ~~SA~~ S. A.

To ~~SA~~ S. A. Dev. Sec.

Ref. Your memo l. m. of date 7.4.33. p 4 infra
reg. Pers. Sec. operations

The action of S. Kanada has been addressed in the ~~draft~~ and a reply will be sent on this subject.

Per
7.4.33

To A.S.

Submitted. Reply may be

awaited till 25.4.33.

Per
7.4.33
7.4.33

RI
7.4.33

To A.S.

For orders whether the DA. may be reminded. If so a draft P. U. is submitted for approval.

Per
25.4.33
22.4.33

Done
RR
25.4.

~~13~~ 1/5

6 11

T. M. 3-A 01

From the Asst. Sec. Dev. Dept

To the D. A.

Re: Your letter ROC No. D.3

-299-33 d. 29.3.33 and Telephone

reply d. 7.4.33 - Post act

Operations - expenditure in 1932-33

additional provision

13
1.5.33

to 1-2 CF

p. 5 NF

13
1.5.33

action under

p. 6 NF

^{please}
~~will you kindly say~~

When reply to my T. M. dated

1.4.33 in the above subject may

be expected.

RL

28/4/33

C 1 B. O C. 1/5

25.4.33

27.4.33

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From

To

S. Dev. Dept.

Re: Your l. m. of d. 1.4.33
Post Act Operations - 1932-33

The reply from the collector
S. Karam has not been received
and he is being reminded
to-day. On receipt of his
reply will be sent.

To A. S.

may be. you till 20.5.33

1.5.33

RL
21/5

To A.S.

18/5

Urgent Fav

Please see A.S. orders at bottom of
p. 6 supra. No reply has yet been received from
the D.A. who may be reminded again.
The draft T.M. below is submitted for approval.

T.M.

From the Asst. Secy. Dev. Dept.

To the D.A. p. 6 supra

Refce: Your T.M. d. 1.5.33 re. Post. Act Operations
25/5 - Expenditure in 1932-33. - Additional provision

Please let me know when a ~~reply~~ to
reply to my T.M. d. 1.4.33 on the above
subject may be expected.

30000
25/5/33

By Mr. 22.5.33
RR 25.5.33

The D.A.
The A.S. Secy. Dept.
Ref: Your l.m. of 25.5.33
reg. Post. Act. Operations
The Collec. of S. Kanara
has not yet replied. I have
reminded him again. As
soon as his reply is received
the reply to your l.m. of 1.4.33
will be sent.


above

p. 4 supra

By 27/5/33

To A.S.

Submitted: May be over till 27.6.33
By Mr. 27.5.33
RR 30.5.33



8/19

D. 2050 III
8-6-33

Office of the Director of Agriculture,
Madras, Dated the 6th June 1933.

Pest Copy of Telephonic Message *by Post.*

From the Director of Agriculture, Madras.

To the Assistant Secretary, Development Department, Madras.

Dated the 6th June 1933.

Reference:-- Your Telephonic Message dated the 25th May 1933 and this office reply dated the 27th May 1933 regarding Pest Act Operations- expenditure 1932-33 - additional provision. *p 7 supra*

A copy of a letter dated the 1st June 1933 from the *note below* Collector of South Kanara on the subject is sent herewith. My final reply will be sent as soon as a reply from the Collector is received.

(By order)

C. S. Sengedharani
for DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

CT. 7/6/33. *Recd 7/6*

Copy of letter from the Collector of South Kanara, to the Director of Agriculture, Madras, R.O.C.1801-33-B4 dated the 1st June 1933.

Agriculture- Agricultural Pest Act Operations-Advances made -adjustment.

Your letter R.O.C.No.D.3-299/33, dated 5-4-1933 and 25-5-33.

With reference to your letters quoted above, I find that none seem to be able to know with certainty how the debits arose. I have been pressing the Tahsildar of Mangalore for facts and he does not seem able to produce them. I have now asked the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore to go into the matter personally and endeavour to clear it up.

2/20

To A.S.

vide p 7 supra

die over orders until 27-6-33 were taken on this file. In view of the D.A's telephone message (lost copy) at p. 8 ante, it is submitted that the file may lie over until the D.A. is able to send a reply after receipt of the Collector's reply by him or his

He - Dr
8.6.33 86.88

1-8-33.

RL
9.6.33

pp 4-5 CF CNO. 2105 III - From the DA. Rec. D-3 No. 299/33 d. 11-6-33

To A.S.

Please see the D.A's letter at pp 4. a draft om. to the Collector of S. Kanara is submitted for approval. Done at once

He - Dr
14.6.33 146.31

RL
15.6.33

V. urgent Bad.

(1st up again on 26.6.33)

19/6

pp 6-7 CF Issued as om. No. 1268 III/33-1, d. 15-6-33
pp 9-11 CF CNO. 2264 III - From the Coln. of S. Kanara D.D. 1801-33 d. 26.6.33

To A.S.



Please see the Collector's reply at

pp 9-11 CF. No appropriation was made under 34 a. Agriculture - J. Post Act Operations in 1932-33 under note (c) to para 69 of the Budget Manual the sanction of Finance is necessary for expenditure on an object for which there is no appropriation. Submitted that the file may therefore be sent to

Finance for necessary administration of funds & must be borne debit raised against the Dept. Sept.

He - Dr
29.6.33 29.6.33

RL
20.6.33 Finance

p 18 Manual
put up)

is also item (111) in para

He - Dr
No. 558(0)

On no 1268 III/33-3
30.6.33

21

Finance (B.G.)

Please see (i) the letter from the Director of Agriculture on pages 1-2 C.F.,

(ii) the memo. to the Collector of S. Kanara on pages 6-7 C.F., and

(iii) the reply from the Collector on pages 9-11 C.F.

2 In his letter dated the 9th March 1927, the Collector of S. Kanara recommended the suggestion of the Government Entomologist that a fee of four pils per tree treated should be levied from owners, that half of it might be paid to Climber Coolies and the rest kept in reserve in case additional Coolies are employed.

In go. 1120 D^{est}. dated the 22nd July 1927, the Government accepted the Collection from owners at four pils per tree treated and fixed the wages of Coolies at Rs. 15/- per mensem plus two pils for each tree treated in lieu of travelling allowances; and at the instance of the Accountant General directed that the Collection should be wholly credited to ^{General} ~~Govt.~~ revenues and amounts payable to Coolies drawn from the treasury on Contingent bills. With reference to this go. the Government Entomologist should have asked for an imprest permanent advance to meet payments to Coolies to be recouped from time to time by drawing Contingent bills for amounts actually spent. ~~Instead~~ Instead of adopting this course, the Pest Inspector was drawing from the Treasury advances to pay the Coolies

(A)
A in par. 4 of 1208
21/1

pages 19-20, id.

22

para 2 in page 10 CF

debiting them to 'Advances Recoverable'. When a collection of fees was made, the whole amount was credited to xxiv 'Agriculture' and half the amount was automatically debited to '34 Agriculture by Credit to 'Advances Recoverable' thus reducing the debit balance under the latter head. This procedure was not warranted by the govt. 11.20.31. 22.7.27.

flav (A)

1288 (10012) 231

para 4, p. 10 CF

3 The Post Act Operations were stopped from September 1931 and on the 1st April 1932, there was a debit balance of Rs 256-11-7 under 'Advances Recoverable'. A sum of Rs 169-15-2 (representing half the actual sum collected) is said to have been credited to this head ^{in 1932-33} by debit to '34 Agriculture'. If this sum was collected in 1932-33, as appears to be the case, the collections from tree owners should have been in areas and it is not stated whether the whole of the sum due has been collected. In any case there is no need to keep the debit balance of Rs 86-12-5 under 'Advances Recoverable' pending. This ~~whole~~ sum may be ordered to be debited to '34 Agriculture' by credit to 'Advances Recoverable' ^{in the current year (1932-33)}. As proposed by the Director of Agriculture, the amount may be debited to '34a. Agriculture - d. Research

of para 3 & 4 ... of

Institute - 8. Other charges' and met by reappropriation from 'L.S. Apparatus and materials'. It is not now possible to sanction an appropriation to cover the debit of Rs 169-15-2 to '34 Agriculture' made in 1932-33.



4 The Development Dept. may be requested to see that the areas of fees are collected from the tree owners concerned without delay.

B
15.7.33
Feb
24/7/33

C
24.7.33

File No. 558 of 33

24.7.33

de S

42 23

Dev. Dept.

To A.S.

Please see previous note by this dept.
re p. 9 supra and Finance Dept. note at
pp. 10-11 supra. [The reminder letter from the
D.A. received on 24.7.33 and sent to Fin. no.
has not been received back]. A draft
order is submitted for approval.

W. R.
24.7.33 25.7.33

RR
25.7.33

Done today.

Issue here today
and see that Finance
communicate with
H.A. 2.1
25/7

24

Dev.

DEPARTMENT.

When received for Fair-copying.
When copied ..
When examined ..
When signed ..
When despatched ..

Date. Initials.

Agriculture - Best Act Operation
- South Kanara Expenditure
- 1932-33 - Provision - Orders passed

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, dated _____, 19__

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1268 III/33 From the D. A Koc, No. D-3-299/33 dated 29-3-1933 - pp. 1-2 CF

" " " " do " 11-6-1933 pp. 4-5 CF
On, No. 1268 III/33-1 d. 15-6-33 to the Colr. of S. Kanara pp. 6-7 CF
" " 2264 III/33 " " Colr. of S. Kanara No. D. Dis 1801-33 d. 26-6-1933 pp. 9-11

ORDER.

No. 876, dated 25-7-1933

hus.

note para 4, p. 10 CF

C.I.B. SPH
Give No. & return at once
12-30 PM 1/1/33 (V)

para 5, p. 10 CF

Rs 256-11-7
169-15-2
86-12-5

The Collector of South Kanara has reported that on 1.4.32 there was a debit balance of Rs 256-11-7 under "Advances recoverable" to be adjusted consequent on the stoppage of the Best Act Operation in the South Kanara Dt. in accordance with G.O. No. 1288 hus. Dev d. 29.8.31 and that a sum of Rs 169-15-2 was already adjusted during 1932-33 by debit to "34 Agriculture." The Govt. direct that the balance of Rs 86-12-5

under "Advances Recoverable" ~~pending~~
should similarly be adjusted during
the current year by debit to

34. a. Agriculture

2. The amount may
be debited to "34 a Agriculture
- L. Research Institute - & other
charges" and met by reappropria-
tion from 04 a. Agriculture - L.
Research Institute & 5 Apparatus and
materials"

vide paras 3 & 4 on p 2 Cl-ans
para 3, p 11 M

p. 357 CBE

p. 356 CBE

3. The Collector of South
Kanara and the D.A. are requested
to see that any arrears of fees
due from the tree owners concerned
are collected without further
delay.

vide para 4 p. 11 M

ll. Dr 25.7.33 7.33
RR 25.7.33

Depd
25/7
1. The D.A.
(with copy of Colr's
letter at pp. 9-11 CF)

Depd to
25/7
2. The A.S. (thru Fin. Dept.)
(with no. note) (a & o)
Copy of pp 1-7 CF & pp 9-11 CF

Depd
25/7
3 Copy to the Fin. Dept.
4. The Colr. of S. Kanara